



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/726,233 | 11/29/2000 | Christine Miyachi | XER-20374D/A0600 | 9612 |

7590 03/18/2005

Albert P. Sharpe III Esq
Fay Sharpe Fagan Minnich & McKee LLP
7th Floor
1100 Superior Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44114-2518

EXAMINER

WORKU, NEGUSSIE

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2626

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/726,233 | MIYACHI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Negussie Worku | 2626 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Negussie Worku. (3) Joe Warers.
 (2) Jerome Grant. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 03 March 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: 5,845,058.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Based on summary of applicant's position faxed on March 02, 20005, applicant's arguments has been reviewed by Primary Examiner Jerome Grant and Examiner Negussie Worku. Agreed with applicant's position that "there is one print spooler associated with the workstation (42) and a second print spooler associated with the print server (50)" the prior art does not anticipate the limitation of independent claim 1. Therefore, the finality of the office action has been withdrawn, and a further search will be conducted upon applicant's response. .